GCE Physics - PH2
Mark Scheme - January 2013

| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (a) | (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) <br> (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) | $3.0[\mathrm{~cm}]$ [accept 3 cm ] <br> $v=3.0 \times 5.0(1)\left[\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right]$ or by implication. Full ecf on $\lambda$ $\begin{aligned} & t=\frac{d}{v} \operatorname{applied}(1) \\ & t=0.70 \mathrm{~s}(\text { ecf on } \lambda)(1) \end{aligned}$ <br> OR $\begin{aligned} & d=\frac{10.5}{3.0} \\ & T=0.20[\mathrm{~s}](1) \\ & {\left[t=0.20 x \frac{10.5}{3.0}\right] t=0.70[\mathrm{~s}]} \end{aligned}$ <br> B in phase, C not in phase (in antiphase not acceptable), D in phase irrespective of explanations. (1) <br> Correct answer and understandable explanation or 'in phase' explained, for one of $B, C$ or $D$. (1) <br> Correct answer and understandable explanation for another of $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, or D. (1) <br> Diffraction <br> Rounded and (almost) semicircular (Accept gaps of $<=3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (1) $\lambda$ constant (1) (within about 30\%) <br> Any $2 \times$ (1) from: <br> - $\lambda$ decreased [No penalty for (say) 'halved'] <br> - less spreading <br> - side beams <br> Question 1 total | [1] <br> [3] <br> [3] <br> [1] <br> [2] <br> [2] <br> [12] |


| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (a) | (i) <br> (ii) | Constructive interference at $P$ / waves arrive in phase at $P(1)$ Same path length from sources / AP = BP / no path difference (1) $\begin{aligned} & 52.2 \text { and } 50.2 \text { (1) } \\ & \lambda=2.0[\mathrm{~cm}] \text { (1) ecf on slips } \end{aligned}$ <br> OR 56.8 and 52.8 (1) $\lambda=2.0[\mathrm{~cm}] \text { (1) ecf on slips }$ | [2] <br> [2] |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{iii)} \\ (\mathrm{I}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=\frac{10.0 \times 10.0}{50}(1)=2.0 \mathrm{~cm}(1) \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { OR } \lambda=\frac{10.0 \times 12.0}{50}(1)=2.4 \mathrm{~cm}(1) \text { UNIT } \end{aligned}$ | [2] |
|  | (b) | (II) | AB or SP not very small compared with D OR maxima not evenly spaced $\begin{aligned} & d=2.0 \times 10^{-6}[\mathrm{~m}](1) \text { or by implication } \\ & 3 \lambda=d^{*} \sin 72.3^{\circ}(1) \\ & {\left[d^{*} \text { needs to be related to } d, \text { even } 5.0 \times 10^{5} \text { would do }\right]} \\ & \lambda=6.35 \times 10^{-7}[\mathrm{~m}](1) \end{aligned}$ | [1] |
|  |  | (ii) | Up to $3^{\text {rd }}$ order visible, $1+3 \times 2$ beams seen OR diagram (1) $\frac{d}{\lambda}=3.15$ (1) so only 3 orders (1) not a freestanding mark OR $\frac{4 \lambda}{d}>1$ <br> so only 3 orders (1) not a freestanding mark | [3] [3] |
|  |  |  | Question 2 total | [13] |


|  | tion |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | (a) | (i) | (I) <br> (II) $1.58 \sin 25^{\circ}=[1.00] \sin a(1)$ or equivalent or by implication $a=42^{\circ}$ (1) <br> (I) <br> Either $c=39^{\circ}$ (1) $60^{\circ}>39^{\circ}$ or equivalent (1) <br> OR $1.58 \sin 60^{\circ}$ gives error (1) <br> So refraction not possible or TIR [needs attempt to justify] (1) <br> (II) <br> TIR at Q and at least one more instance of TIR with subsequent ecf (1) <br> As drawn with reflected ray at Q going off East of South, eventually emerging through diameter face, with at least one more TIR event.(1) <br> Thinner <br> Monomode: parallel to axis (accept straight) Multimode: zig-zag paths as well (1) or some paths involve reflections <br> Only one route for data (1) [no zig-zag routes] <br> Each pulse [data element etc] arrives [at other end of fibre] at same time (1) <br> No overlapping of pulses (1) [even over long distances] Question 3 Total |  |
|  |  |  |  | [2] |
|  |  |  |  | [2] |
|  |  | (ii) |  | [2] |
|  |  |  |  | [2] |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) | (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) |  | [1] |
|  |  |  |  | [1] |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} {[3]} \\ {[13]} \end{gathered}$ |


| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | (a) | (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) | Any 4 x (1) from: <br> - light [energy] in discrete packets <br> - one electron ejected by one photon OR photons don't cooperate <br> - energy not accumulated [by electron] over time or emission from instant light shines <br> - intensity has no effect on $E_{k \max }$ or accept intensity affects number emitted per second <br> - wave theory doesn't predict Einstein's equation or doesn't predict threshold frequency $\begin{aligned} & E_{k \max }=\left(6.63 \times 10^{-34} \times 8.7 \times 10^{14}-3.8 \times 10^{-19}\right) \\ & E_{k \max }=1.97 \times 10^{-19}[\mathrm{~J}](1) \end{aligned}$ <br> These photons eject electrons with smaller $E_{k \max }(1)$ $E_{k \text { max }}$ same as previously with some explanation given (1) Correct use of $c=f \lambda$ (1) e.g. to give $\lambda_{\text {thresh }}=523[\mathrm{~nm}]$ OR $f_{400 \mathrm{~nm}}=7.5 \times 10^{14}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ OR $f_{700 \mathrm{~nm}}=4.3 \times 10^{14}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ Comparison of $400[\mathrm{~nm}]$ with $\lambda_{\text {thresh }}(1)$ or $7.5 \times 10^{14}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ with $f_{\text {thresh }}$ $\left(5.73 \times 10^{14}[\mathrm{~Hz}]\right)$ or substitution of $7.5 \times 10^{14}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ into Einstein's equation. <br> Conclusion : It can (1) [if reasoned] <br> Question 4 Total | [4] <br> [2] <br> [2] <br> [3] <br> [11] |


| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | (a) |  | $\begin{aligned} & E=\frac{h c}{\lambda}(1) \text { or equivalent e.g. } E=h f \text { and } f=\frac{c}{\lambda} \\ & \lambda=880[\mathrm{~nm}](1) \end{aligned}$ | [2] |
|  | (b) | (i) <br> (ii) | Photon disappears and the electron gains its energy or electron promoted from G to U <br> 1. [Passing] photon <br> 2. Of energy $2.26 \times 10^{-19}[\mathrm{~J}]$ or $\lambda=880[\mathrm{~nm}]$ or equivalent <br> 3. Causes electron to drop [from U to G] <br> 4. Releasing additional photon <br> 5. Identical to or in phase or polarised in the same direction or travelling in the same direction with the incident photon <br> Award (1) mark for each of statements 1, 3 and 4 <br> Award the $4^{\text {th }}$ mark for either statement 2 or 5 . | [1] [4] |
|  |  | (iii) | Electron drops [from U to G] by itself (or randomly or without stimulation...), with emission of photon | [1] |
|  | (c) | (i) <br> (ii) | Raising electrons to higher level or causing population inversion So more electrons in higher level than lower (1). So stimulated emission more probable than absorption (1). | [1] [2] |
|  |  |  | Question 5 Total | [11] |




